

INTRODUCTION

Amherst Conference Notes!!

→ Read this. We can't lose anything, and we probably can adopt some of the ideas.

Saby

On January 9, 1954, eighty-nine representatives from thirteen different New England college radio stations gathered at Amherst College to discuss problems common to college radio stations as a whole. The discussions were channeled into five major topics, these being, business, general station problems, programming, networks, and technical. An informal discussion concerning the problem of college television broadcasting was also held.


The following pages represent the sum total of these discussions. Being informal, there were no formal notes taken at the television panel. As you will see the form of the different reports varies according to the manner in which the individual panel secretaries submitted their reports. Form notwithstanding, we hope <sup>each</sup> presents to the reader a clear summary of the respective discussion.

We wish to thank the many stations who have sent us notes since the conference. We sincerely hope that this conference has not been the last of its kind. In an expanding field such as college radio, we feel there will always be a need for the solution and discussion of common problems. It might be very fruitful, then, if such a meeting might become an annual affair. The nature of college radio being what it is, there are always new personnel assuming the roles of responsibility in the individual station. The experience gained by one set of officers cannot always be passed on to the next set. Therefore, through a conference such as this, the new men and/or women may be helped in their quest for good broadcasting and quality. We would be very interested in hearing from any of you who attended this year's meeting or anyone else who would be interested in attending such a meeting next year. If a definite date could be set well in advance, many of the problems which faced this year's committee might be overcome more easily. The prime example of this is in securing an outstanding speaker. This year we could not obtain one because the men approached were engaged far in advance and could not cope with our short notice.

We cannot speculate as to the real success of the discussions, for each individual station, being at its own stage of development, has its own individual problems. We only hope that we did succeed in helping some of you and thank those of you who did the helping.

*Michael Aronchick*  
Michael Aronchick  
Chairman, Conference Committee  
WAMF, Amherst College

( Additional copies of this report are available on request.  
Mail such requests to Michael Aronchick, Conference Committee, WAMF, Amherst College, Amherst, Massachusetts.)



## GENERAL STATION PROBLEMS

Moderator:


Robert Hodges

Station Manager, WAMF 1953-1954

With twelve stations represented the session on general station problems started with a discussion of hierarchical organization. In general, each station was found to be governed by an executive board or council of some sort with little faculty control in most cases. These stations seemed to be organized along two major lines-- those who function as replicas of commercial radio stations and those who operate as clubs. The former usually have a more or less self-perpetuating hierarchy with a variety of semi-democratic or oligarchic methods of electing new officers, while the latter are found to operate under the principle of free elections of capable members to the positions of president, vice-president, secretary, and treasurer, who in turn appoint a board consisting of station manager, program director, technical director, chief engineer, and various department heads. The number and names of executive officers and department heads vary with individual stations, but in each station is to be found positions comparable to those of commercial station managers and program directors who serve in executive capacities. However, in all cases final executive authority on matters of policy and the like is to be found vested in the executive board or council, meeting as a group. Time of election of new officers was found to vary with each station according to the general extra-curricular organizational set up within a particular college and also the past experience of the individual station in changing commanding officers. On the whole, station organization seems to have developed along the same general lines within each station with variations to meet special individual needs and responsibilities.

From this discussion of general organization and hierarchy arose the question of competition or selection for positions within the station's organization. Most stations make their selection of executive board members and department heads on the basis of general ability along certain lines as well as an individual's personal capabilities in personality and the like for filling a certain position. Some stations, however, operate on a principle of competition in selecting board members and other officials. This competition consists of all eligible personnel being assigned certain definite tasks in specified areas with an eye towards a definite position or positions. Each eligible person is given the opportunity to try out insofar as he is able, regardless of any particular choice he may have. Thus every aspirant for every position competes against every aspirant for every other position. Of course, there may be any number of exceptions to this rule depending upon the individual station and the people involved. At the end of this competition period, each competitor's performance is reviewed by the outgoing executive board and on this basis along with considerations of an individual's choice of positions, selection or nomination for a definite position is made. Further information about this particular method may be obtained from WAMF, Amherst College.

The next problem to come under discussion was that of getting and training new personnel. First comes the job of interesting new people in the station. The time of year for admitting undergraduates



to a training program differs between colleges, depending upon college rules concerning extra-curricular rules for freshmen. In those colleges in which freshmen are eligible to enter a training program in the radio station at any time they are usually all informed of this immediately upon their arrival on campus by means of postcards, posters, or the radio itself. Some stations contact freshmen during the summer preceding their enrollment. Perhaps one of the most interesting methods of getting freshmen interested in the college radio station is that employed by WFLI at Lowell Tech where various station members walk into freshman rooms, turn on the radios, and tune in the station which at that time is aiming its programs right at the frosh. In other stations that are forced to wait because of college regulations or because they prefer to, programs especially made up to attract the interest of the freshmen as well as to help them out in their first few weeks at the school are to be found. On opening their training period later in the year, these stations usually give talks or run displays with other campus organizations at open meetings, later calling special meetings for all those interested in the radio station in particular. One problem arising here is that of competing with other campus organizations for the best personnel from the freshman class. This problem is met and overcome in different ways on different campuses. High and low pressure methods, bribery, cut-throat operations, compromise and even co-operation may be instrumental in getting new personnel. This depends on the college involved.

As for the training of new personnel there seem to be two main processes with numerous variations. One method in common use is that of a general training program in which all heelers, competitors, flunkies, or whatever you wish to call them are subjected to the same training, regardless of interest. Under this set up all must put in a certain number of hours per day, week, or healing period, doing a variety of odds jobs and picking up a wide scope of knowledge about the station's operations. Upon completion of this general training period, the healer may be selected for station membership, and then go on to do further work in a particular department or departments. The chief advantage in this method is that each member learns a little bit about each aspect of the station. For further specific information about this training procedure, contact WYBC at Yale.

The second major method of training personnel discussed is one which may be termed specialization. At the first meeting of competitors they are asked to choose no more than two departments within the station in which to train. After preliminary voice tests and instruction in hand signals and other standard operating procedures, competitors are assigned to the heads of the departments of their choice for training assignments. Scheduling and overall co-ordination between departmental training programs are handled by a scheduling director or a head of competitors. In some stations throughout this training period a spirit of intense competition is maintained to both stimulate greater interest and to weed out



poor material. Under this system, there is not only specialized departmental training given to the competitors but also a variety of projects and odd jobs that give a wider knowledge of station operations and policy. Full details on this system may be obtained from WAMF at Amherst.

In the subsequent and final discussion that followed on the general status of the radio station on the college campus, it was found that in almost every case the radio station maintains a position of major importance and responsibility in extra-curricular activities. In some cases it acts with a great deal of support from the college administration, while in others the station may operate in spite of the administration. The position of the station on the college campus has grown from one of an activity mainly for "bugs" on electronic equipment to one which gives training in all dimensions of commercial radio broadcasting while providing both entertainment and educational value for the entire college community.



NOTES ON NETWORK DISCUSSION OF CONFERENCE

Moderator and Keynoter:

Greg Dawson WYBC, Yale and the Ivy Network

Greg Dawson

Mr. Dawson opened with a brief description of the Ivy Network which he described as a "successful operation." Harvard, Yale, Cornell, Princeton, Pennsylvania, and Dartmouth are members of this group whose chief aims are: (1) to obtain national advertising income jointly; (2) the exchange of ideas, programming, etc. The network office is located in New Haven. It started large scale operations in 1950 and today its activities include 1) promotion of the network and publicity 2) the sale of national advertising 3) work by each individual station on a particular station problem (programming, advertising, technical, etc.) with the hope that a compiled book about the network operation will eventually be printed.

Problems of network broadcasting were outlined briefly as:

- 1) Standardization of programs
- 2) Merchandizing of sponsors' products-- which is a way of obtaining more advertising, e.g. putting posters in store windows or on campus concerning sponsors' products. Lucky Strike posters are a good example of this.
- 3) communication between stations
- 4) surveys and polls which also help advertising

Suggested for discussion were such problems as:

- 1) College radio limitations
- 2) purposes of college radio
- 3) What is college radio?
- 4) demand for individualism of each station
- 5) feeling from within each station as a stimulating drive to do bigger and better things
- 6) value of college radio for members and audience

The floor was thrown open for discussion:

Lowell Tech-- possibility of continuous 24 hour operation through the use of 8 hour tapes

Smith -- possibility of circulation of best tapes of key shows among various other stations

Yale-- Piped in music through restaurant service used from 9 A.M. to 1:15 P.M. since Yale prohibits students from working at the station in the morning

Lowell--- Possibility of "lifting" programs from F.M. stations with their permission by the use of "Multiplex"

Ivy Network- each station does a one hour show sent to all other stations

Lowell ---- Tapes from various stations could be sent to some central point where an eight hour tape could be made. Communications problem might be solved by the use of ham radio league which reached all cities

Yale-- We don't have any ham radio at Yale

Amherst-- Suggestion that election network that we used with telephone lines could be used for other shows. This was generally agreed to be a good idea.



Yale-- Cost of selling network shows have prohibited it up to now

Williams-- You could add more stations to a line and thus reduce the average costs per station

Yale-- Billing system in Ivy Network; each station that runs a show sends an affidavit to the network office which sends a joint affidavit to the advertising agency. The agency then pays the network office which in turn sends a check to the individual stations. Works smoothly, efficiently, and rapidly.

Yale-- No problem of "too much advertising". Problem of "objectionable advertising" is rare although must always be on the lookout for it.

Brown-- Programs of outstanding personalities of school

Columbia has a series of outstanding speakers.

Brown has a series of outstanding speakers which they are willing to share with any other stations that will send them an empty tape.

Yale-- Stations of various colleges are always willing to help each other in arranging for such things as game broadcasts, etc.

Unfortunately, at this point the discussion was forced to end as the allotted time ran out.



## PANEL ON PROGRAMMING

Moderator:

Burt Griffin, WAMF, Amherst

Keynoter:

David Levi, WCRB Harvard

Mr. Levi began the discussion with speculation on whether or not a station should have a general "program policy." He brought out that this was a very important question since it determines for the listener what he will hear and when. At Harvard, he went on to explain there ~~is~~ is such a policy. The major reasons for this were 1) commercial competition from Boston proper, 2) the nature of WHRB's audience who use the station's classical music for a study background, and 3) people came to identify WHRB as a "Classical Music" station.

This, of course, raises the problem of those people who do not want to be merely disc jockies so to speak for classical music. This is remedied to some extent by the taping of "live" concerts.

The Harvard policy is then based on block programming, on Harvard study habits, on the dinner time break, and on the closing of the library.

This policy is kept flexible enough, however, so as to allow the broadcasting of other events of general interest such as sporting events. These, nevertheless, are kept at a minimum so that the station will not lose its identity as a "Classical Music" station. WHRB maintains a high quality of program personnel to go along with this policy and thereby also keeps its prestige high.

In conclusion, Mr. Levi stated that his station adopted this policy because it fitted the circumstances at Harvard. In other cases, such a policy might not be called for, and thus, each station must decide for itself just what the circumstances call for.

A discussion period followed:

Yale--

The 10:30 to 11:00 spot is reserved for Dentures on different nights. People aren't studying but apparently use this period for relaxing. How many hours a day do you (Harvard) spend on classical music?

Harvard--

About eight and a half

Yale--

Do you limit your sponsors?

Harvard--

We don't sell the shows, we sell the breaks.

Amherst--

That's the set-up at Yale?

Yale--

Program policy features more romances on lectures, college singing groups, etc. We also have listeners request classical music.

Dartmouth--

How about the problem of college news?

Harvard--

WCRB staff is in direct competition with the Crimson. They need personnel to dig up the stories; the news Director and the desk were recommended as editor.

Dartmouth--

How do you combat the prestige of the college daily?

Harvard--

Do the story right! Also, we don't accept notices.

Amherst--

There is no editorializing. We try to copy the paper.

Trinity--

Do you use the UP or any other wire service?

Yale--

Five minutes before each hour, and one fifteen minute break with news and sports from the Ticker. No school or Connecticut news.

Harvard--

Use the football prophet and outside commentators.

We have a producer commenting on the news.



~~Amherst--~~

at 2000 P.M. We have fifteen minutes on Hanover, the Nation and the World. We also have features on Science and Books. We use professors for this but we have to be careful in picking the right one. We have Sunday Edition, a summary of the week's events, and commentary shows from the UP ticker.

What about Sports?

We have special features depending on the season along with regular ticker shows. We do all the football games.

Who pays?

20% of all the games are bought by local sponsors. We lack the money, time and personnel to do all, but we do home games.. not many remotes.

How about special programs?

Blind date show..tape the gals, and the guys choose them by number.

~~Wesleyan--~~

We have special news and sports shows. We also have special shows for the frosh. We try to relate courses and programs.

~~Lowell Tech--~~

We find much competition with phonographs in the frats. To help overcome this we have tried to raise interest in our programs through such devices as interfraternity quizzes and song contests. We also have a drama workshop with Bennington.

~~U. Mass.--~~

We have special Saturday night programs aimed at the houses.

~~Amherst--~~

On Saturday nights we have continuous dance music. We try interviews with major personalities when possible.

~~Amherst--~~

How about publicity and public relations? Posters in local stores.

We have a middle show, a take off band on College Day. We find we must cater to on campus listeners. A faculty DJ show and a "Composer's Alley" for talent laden students helps.

Run a riot for the frosh. Give away ash trays as frosh finish registering. Also have party with the Rheingold girls.

Advertising done via the blotter concession.

Install a coke machine, and people drop in.

Sends a newsletter to everyone.

Prints up basic program schedule and distributes.

Uses quiz idea to get interest.

Musical quiz with Lucky Strikes as prizes

Local merchant supplies free gas for ads

How do you build a Town audience?

Cater to the town. You have to make money from them.

~~Trinity--~~

We do not aim at the faculty

~~Trinity -~~

We present dinner music for the town. We also have

Saturday night request show.

At this point the time allotted for the panel expired.



## PANEL ON REGIONAL PROBLEMS.

Moderator:

Paul Penfield Jr., WATF, Amherst

After a few opening remarks by Mr. Penfield, the floor was thrown open for discussion.

The problem of coverage was first discussed. Each station explained its own set-up and commented upon it. The discussions:

Amherst-- Uses carrier current. 35 watt transmitter coupled to one of four primary power circuits in the town of Amherst, leaving loopholes in coverage, missing many of the fraternity houses. Planning to couple another transmitter into one of the other primaries.

Lowell-- Carrier current, coupled to secondary power circuit. Covers two dorms and school buildings.

Rhode Is.-- Multiple transmitter set-up. Not too successful.

Maine-- Carrier current. 25 watt transmitter coupled to secondary circuits covers women's dorm but this was not enough. Planning to add another transmitter to cover the north end of the campus.

Dartmouth-- Carrier current, coupled to primary power lines in dorm.

U. of Mass.-- FM, licensed, educational station. Converts to AM in the dorms. No trouble with the FCC, but doesn't cover the whole campus with AM.

This outline having been presented, the discussion proceeded to the problems involved in obtaining good coverage. It was pointed out that radiating from an antenna seemed the best solution. This, however, exceeds the radiation limits imposed by the FCC on television broadcasting, as outlined under part 15 of the regulations of the FCC. Stations have adopted carrier current, with an allowed radiation according to part 15 of 157/frequency, in fact, the radiation at this point not to exceed 15 microvolts/meter.

FM, as adopted by the U. of Mass., was discussed as a possible solution. Licensed by the FCC as educational; personnel need license to switch transmitter on and off (license restricted 3rd class). This can be received usually by writing and asking for it. The University, however, requires a regular 3rd class license of all persons operating the board. A ten watt FM station must have 2nd class license holder on call at all times. The educational FM is non-commercial. Lack of definition of "educational" has led to difficulties.

It was now brought up that FM stations were required to go off the air during emergency alerts. Penfield added that all stations, and especially college stations on 640 should go off. The question now was -- how does one know when to go off? The answer was to monitor some big station such as WBC or WIBQ. This can be done with a special monitor device to register some warning when a station such as one of those to which it is tuned intercepts the signal. This requires



to send in reports of its monitoring. An FCC manual is available with all the information about what is required of stations.

Discussion on this topic was terminated. Penfield asked if the stations represented would care to comment on any special equipment or set ups they had that they found particularly useful. All found extensive use for remote amplifiers. NCA and Collins were praised highly. The question was raised as to the advantages of a battery pack for one of these amplifiers. It was pointed out that many times broadcasts had to be made from places in which power was not readily available, e.g., Amherst having to string 1000 ft. of line to broadcast the Amherst Harvard baseball game. It was asked if all the stations operated with equipment built by themselves. Most did not, using standard equipment. Second hand equipment may be found for sale in broadcasting Telecasting magazines, while schematics may be obtained from Daven, RCA, Gates, and others, along with general information as to what facilities should be incorporated into a board built by a college station, or other pieces of equipment. In the field of remote broadcasting, it was pointed out that Glass D telephone lines, where a line amplifier is needed, uses a one way amp that makes talkback along the line possible. A question was raised about a phantom circuit, which allows conversation with the studios from a remote broadcast in addition to the program being sent, all over the same pair of wires. It consists of two centered tapped repeat coils one at each end of the line. Any signal applied between the center tap and ground at one end will appear at the same place at the other end of the line without interfering with the signal being applied to the line.

Concerning standby equipment, some stations have found it handy to have secondary control boards, say for a big studio, able to be converted either with a switch or patch cords into the equivalent of a master control room, sending their signal directly to the transmitter. This is also found helpful for allowing the master control to be used for rehearsals or programs. The phono amplifier and preamp have been generally found to be the most efficient, allowing for easy servicing and quick replacement in the event of failure.

The response of radio equipment was the next topic discussed. The FCC standards were quoted:

For FM, 15-1500 cps  $\pm 1$ , for AM 50-10,000 cps  $\pm 2$

Amherst was asked how they wound the coils to maintain the proper transmitter band width. Penfield replied that the solution was in small radius coils utilising large wire.

The meeting was brought to a close with a discussion about a letter to be sent to the FCC concerning college radio stations and the limitations placed on them by the FCC. The main point is to let them know that we are interested in what they are doing, and that we want some recognition of our existence. A copy of the letter will be sent to all stations involved before being released, for their additions and/or general approval.



# NOTES ON THE BUSINESS PANEL DISCUSSION

Moderator:  
Tom Jenkins, WAMP Amherst

Keynoter:  
Pete Widmer, WBU, Trinity

The following is an outline of the major trends of the business discussion, along with suggestions and general comments, in the order in which each occurred:

Remarks by Mr. Widmer:

## I. College Radio Corporation

1. some sort of national affiliation is needed

## II. What Trinity does for advertisers

1. keeps them happy by sending them advertising copy
2. tries to keep in close contact with them
3. find that results depend on the quality of advertising and programming

## III. Trinity Business set-up

1. departments are independent

2. each receives fixed allotments of station's income

## IV. National Advertising (open discussion)

1. relation between College radio stat. Corporation and Intercollegiate Broadcasting System

- a. IBS feels they can reduce operation costs through "blanket network option."
- b. when national advertising gets more abundant the control of such advertising would get out of the control of the individual station
- c. The I.B.S. agent said that there was no danger of IBS interfering with programming. They are not a corporation, but a board dependent upon the individual stations
- d. closer attendance to regional meetings gives members more control
- e. possible solution: leave certain hours of the day under optional advertising time. Present this option to the local advertiser, so that national advertiser wants a certain time, the local sponsor can be moved satisfactorily

2. merchandising of sponsored products and their promotion was discussed

Trinity--  
a. we are interested primarily in programming  
b. unfortunately advertising is a necessity  
c. therefore, we, like national networks, must promote merchandise  
d. a compromise is necessary

## V. Problems of Local Advertising

1. competition with other campus organizations


2. keep sponsors satisfied

- a. provide advertiser with tape
- b. publicize and promote products and programs with sponsor's name attached
- c. get people into store through quiz programs

2. at the same time, do not be afraid to approach big concerns

## VI. Records

1. San Goody pays for advertising in records per month
2. record companies have discount agreements



VII. Problem of increasing advertising and inadequate coverage

1. work through school
2. apply for national advertising
3. go after small enterprises
4. run movies
5. seek discounts in expanding on equipment and such

At this time the discussion was terminated as the allotted time expired.

98  
100  
102  
104  
106  
108  
110  
112  
114  
116  
118  
120  
122  
124  
126  
128  
130  
132  
134  
136  
138  
140  
142  
144  
146  
148  
150  
152  
154  
156  
158  
160  
162  
164  
166  
168  
170  
172  
174  
176  
178  
180  
182  
184  
186  
188  
190  
192  
194  
196  
198  
200  
202  
204  
206  
208  
210  
212  
214  
216  
218  
220  
222  
224  
226  
228  
230  
232  
234  
236  
238  
240  
242  
244  
246  
248  
250  
252  
254  
256  
258  
260  
262  
264  
266  
268  
270  
272  
274  
276  
278  
280  
282  
284  
286  
288  
290  
292  
294  
296  
298  
300  
302  
304  
306  
308  
310  
312  
314  
316  
318  
320  
322  
324  
326  
328  
330  
332  
334  
336  
338  
340  
342  
344  
346  
348  
350  
352  
354  
356  
358  
360  
362  
364  
366  
368  
370  
372  
374  
376  
378  
380  
382  
384  
386  
388  
390  
392  
394  
396  
398  
400  
402  
404  
406  
408  
410  
412  
414  
416  
418  
420  
422  
424  
426  
428  
430  
432  
434  
436  
438  
440  
442  
444  
446  
448  
450  
452  
454  
456  
458  
460  
462  
464  
466  
468  
470  
472  
474  
476  
478  
480  
482  
484  
486  
488  
490  
492  
494  
496  
498  
500  
502  
504  
506  
508  
510  
512  
514  
516  
518  
520  
522  
524  
526  
528  
530  
532  
534  
536  
538  
540  
542  
544  
546  
548  
550  
552  
554  
556  
558  
560  
562  
564  
566  
568  
570  
572  
574  
576  
578  
580  
582  
584  
586  
588  
590  
592  
594  
596  
598  
600  
602  
604  
606  
608  
610  
612  
614  
616  
618  
620  
622  
624  
626  
628  
630  
632  
634  
636  
638  
640  
642  
644  
646  
648  
650  
652  
654  
656  
658  
660  
662  
664  
666  
668  
670  
672  
674  
676  
678  
680  
682  
684  
686  
688  
690  
692  
694  
696  
698  
700  
702  
704  
706  
708  
710  
712  
714  
716  
718  
720  
722  
724  
726  
728  
730  
732  
734  
736  
738  
740  
742  
744  
746  
748  
750  
752  
754  
756  
758  
760  
762  
764  
766  
768  
770  
772  
774  
776  
778  
780  
782  
784  
786  
788  
790  
792  
794  
796  
798  
800  
802  
804  
806  
808  
810  
812  
814  
816  
818  
820  
822  
824  
826  
828  
830  
832  
834  
836  
838  
840  
842  
844  
846  
848  
850  
852  
854  
856  
858  
860  
862  
864  
866  
868  
870  
872  
874  
876  
878  
880  
882  
884  
886  
888  
890  
892  
894  
896  
898  
900  
902  
904  
906  
908  
910  
912  
914  
916  
918  
920  
922  
924  
926  
928  
930  
932  
934  
936  
938  
940  
942  
944  
946  
948  
950  
952  
954  
956  
958  
960  
962  
964  
966  
968  
970  
972  
974  
976  
978  
980  
982  
984  
986  
988  
990  
992  
994  
996  
998  
999

The following is a list of those schools and personnel which registered for the conference:

WAMF Amherst  
Paul Penfield Jr.  
George Kidder  
Charles Morgan  
Larry Young  
Matthew Budd  
Arthur Rosenberg  
Jeffrey Kalil  
David R. Goldberg  
Robert Hodgen  
Jay Jacobson  
Burt Griffin  
Michael Aronchick  
David Schwartz  
Robert Henderson  
Lee Miller  
Richard Gams  
Tom Jenkins  
Allan Damon  
William Salot  
Donald Buebendorf

WDBS Dartmouth  
Joseph Giden  
Peter Robinson  
Peter Roos

WHRB Harvard  
David Levi

Lowell Textile Institute LTI  
Edward Bonacci  
J.A. Sherman  
Maureen Sullivan  
Charles Durant  
Elaine Kenny  
Allen Marcus  
Walter Schubert  
George Krause  
Colin MacGregor  
Robert Alrod  
A. Weiser  
Arnold Freeman  
Larry Hince

WORO University of Maine  
David Switzer  
John MacGregor  
Donald Freeman

WMAA University of Massachusetts  
Peregrine White  
Joseph Larson  
Edward White  
Betty Sweeny  
Robert Hartwell

WMHC Mount Holyoke College  
Lynn Davis  
Ann Meredith  
Nancy Wiggin

WHEC University of Rhode Island  
Walter Zadanoff  
James Norman  
Larry Higgins  
Paul Nordquist  
Jerry Jacobs  
Judy Auerbach  
Rae Hodges

WCSR Smith College  
Saby Davis  
Bee Barbour  
Nikki Ernstoff  
Gail Ward  
Al Thompson  
Roo Harty  
Anne Williston  
Bea Kelly  
Lois Lehrman  
Dottie Coffman

WRTC Trinity College  
Thomas Bolger  
Pete Widmer

WESU Wesleyan University  
Richard Gillis  
Larry Stanford  
Hoyt Chapin  
Herbert Zornow  
Jay Wylie  
Jackson Goodhue

WMS Williams College  
John Loonis  
Jack Pratt  
John Gosselin

WYBC Yale  
William Usher  
Charles Krause  
Mark Finston  
James Boorsch  
Gregory Dawson (Ivy Network)  
Ted Calleton (Ivy Network)  
Ted Putney

